

TO: **Mail Stop 8**
Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**REPORT ON THE
FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN
ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR
TRADEMARK**

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Western District of Texas, Austin Division on the following

Trademarks or Patents. (the patent action involves 35 U.S.C. § 292.):

DOCKET NO. 1:11-cv-00251 SS		DATE FILED 3/30/2011	U.S. DISTRICT COURT Western District of Texas, Austin Division
PLAINTIFF Grandeye Limited		DEFENDANT Immervision	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
1 See attached			
2 7,542,035			
3 6,243,099			
4			
5			

In the above-entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included:

In the above-entitled case, the following patent(s),					
DATE INCLUDED		INCLUDED BY			
		<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment	<input type="checkbox"/> Answer	<input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK			
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					

In the above-entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT

SION/JUDGEMENT
Please see attached Order of Dismissal

CLERK William G. Putnicki	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK 	DATE SEP 8 2011
------------------------------	---	--------------------

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director
Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

FILED
2011 SEP -8 AM 9:20
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BY Kev
REPDIT

GRANDEYE LIMITED,
Plaintiff,

-vs-

Case No. A-11-CA-251-SS

IMMERVISION,
Defendant.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

BE IT REMEMBERED on this day there was presented to the Court the Stipulation of Dismissal [#17] filed by the parties in the above-styled and numbered cause, and after consideration of the same, the Court enters the following orders:

IT IS ORDERED that the Stipulation of Dismissal [#17] is GRANTED in all respects and this lawsuit is hereby DISMISSED without prejudice.

IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that all costs are adjudged against the party incurring same.

SIGNED this the 7th day of September 2011.

Bam Sparks
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE